

# A note on generalized Möbius $\mu$ -functions

V. S. ALBIS

Universidad Nacional de Colombia

In [1] the concept of a conjugate pair of sets of positive integers is introduced. Briefly, if  $Z$  denotes the set of positive integers and  $P$  and  $Q$  denote non-empty subsets of  $Z$  such that: if  $n_1 \in Z$ ,  $n_2 \in Z$ ,  $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , then

$$n = n_1 n_2 \in P \text{ (resp. } Q) \iff n_1 \in P, n_2 \in P \text{ (resp. } Q) , \quad (1)$$

and, if in addition, for each integer  $n \in Z$  there is a unique factorization of the form

$$n = ab, \quad a \in P, \quad b \in Q , \quad (2)$$

we say that each of the sets  $P$  and  $Q$  is a *direct factor set* of  $Z$ , and that  $(P, Q)$  is a *conjugate pair*. It is clear that  $P \cap Q = \{1\}$ . Among the generalized functions studied in [1], we find

$$\mu_P(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in P}} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

a generalization of MÖBIUS  $\mu$ -function. The following results are also proved in [1]:

- (i)  $\mu_P$  is a multiplicative function.
- (ii)

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} \mu_P\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \rho(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Here we shall show that  $\mu_P$  is the unique arithmetical function satisfying (ii) above. Let  $\mu^*$  be such that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} \mu^*\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \rho(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

If  $\mu^*$  is multiplicative, it suffices to prove that  $\mu_P(p^k) = \mu^*(p^k)$  for every prime  $p$  and every integer  $k > 0$ . So let  $\mu^*$  be a multiplicative function; it follows from (4) and (ii) that

---

(\*) Publicado en la *Revista Colombiana de Matemáticas*, **2** (1968), 6–11. [MR: 38 5699] .

$\mu_P(1) = \mu^*(1)$ , thus  $\mu_P(p) = \mu^*(p)$  for every prime  $p$ . We will now show by induction on  $k$  that  $\mu_P(p^k) = \mu^*(p^k)$ . Suppose this relation holds for  $u > k \geq 0$ . From (ii) we obtain

$$\mu_P(p^u) = - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq u \\ p^i \in Q}} \mu_P\left(\frac{p^u}{p^i}\right), \quad (5)$$

because  $1 = p^0 \in Q$ . On the other hand, from (4) we obtain

$$\mu^*(p^u) = - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq u \\ p^i \in Q}} \mu^*\left(\frac{p^u}{p^i}\right), \quad (6)$$

because  $1 = p^0 \in Q$ . But by the induction hypothesis  $\mu_P(p^{u-i}) = \mu^*(p^{u-i})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, u$ ). Thus the right members in (5) and (6) are equal, so that  $\mu_P(p^u) = \mu^*(p^u)$ .

In view of the above result, it suffices to show that any function  $\mu^*$  satisfying (4) is multiplicative, thus proving the following

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mu^*$  satisfies (4) for every  $n \in Z$ , then  $\mu^* = \mu_P$ .*

In order to prove this theorem we begin with some lemmata. ([2])

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function. If  $g(1) = 0 \Rightarrow g(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in Z$ . If  $g(1) \neq 0 \Rightarrow g(1) = 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f$  be an arithmetical function. If  $\sum_{d|n, d \in Q} f(n/d) = 0$  for every  $n \in Z$ , then  $f(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in Z$ .*

*Proof.* As in [1, lemma 2], we proceed by induction on  $n$ , noting that  $f(n)$  always appears in the involved sum, because  $1 \in Q$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $g$  be a multiplicative function. If  $f$  is such that*

$$g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

*then  $f$  is multiplicative.*

*Proof.* The proof is a convenient and trivial adaptation of that of lemma 3, [2]. For the sake of clearness we repeat it. If  $g(1) = 0$ , then  $g(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in Z$ , so by lemma 2,  $f(n) = 0$  for every  $n \in Z$ . If  $g(1) = 1$  it is clear that that  $f(1) = 1$ . Let us consider the following proposition:

$$P \wr m, n \wr : "(m, n) = 1 \Rightarrow f(mn) = f(m)f(n)" .$$

If  $m$  or  $n = 1$ , the above proposition is true. Let us suppose that  $P \wr m, n \wr$  is false for some pair  $(m, n)$ , and let

$$m_0 = \min \{ m ; \exists n \in Z \text{ with } h(m, n) = 1 \text{ such that } P \wr m, n \wr \text{ is false} \}$$

then there exists an  $n$  such that  $(m_0, n) = 1$  and  $P \wr m_0, n \wr$  is false. Now let

$$n_0 = \min\{n ; (m_0, n) = 1 \text{ and } P \wr m_0, n \wr \text{ is false } \}.$$

We have then :

- i)  $1 < m_0 < n_0$  and  $(m_0, n_0) = 1$
- ii)  $P \wr m_0, n_0 \wr$  is false.
- iii)  $P \wr k, n \wr$  is true for every  $n$  and each  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k < m_0$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ .
- iv)  $P \wr m_0, t \wr$  is true for each  $t$  such that  $1 \leq t \leq n_0$  and  $(m_0, t) = 1$

If now we take  $g(m_0, n_0)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{t|m_0n_0 \\ t \in Q}} &= g(m_0n_0) = g(m_0)g(n_0) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\substack{d|m_0 \\ d \in Q}} f\left(\frac{m_0}{d}\right) \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\delta|n_0 \\ \delta \in Q}} f\left(\frac{n_0}{\delta}\right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

using the multiplicativity of  $g$  and (1); so that

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m_0, \delta|n_0 \\ d, \delta \in Q}} \left\{ f\left(\frac{m_0n_0}{d\delta}\right) - f\left(\frac{m_0}{d}\right) f\left(\frac{n_0}{\delta}\right) \right\} = 0 .$$

But  $m_0/d$  and  $n_0/\delta$  smaller than  $m_0$  and  $n_0$ , resp., if  $d, \delta \neq 1$ ; thus from the above relation and the hypothesis on  $(m_0, n_0)$ , we conclude that

$$f(m_0n_0) - f(m_0)f(n_0) = 0,$$

contradicting ii). So  $P \wr m, n \wr$  is always true.

We remark that no explicit calculation for  $\mu_P$  is needed in the above reasoning. Further, if we use the following theorem [1, theorem 3] :

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are arithmetical functions then*

$$(iii) \quad g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)\mu_P\left(\frac{n}{d}\right),$$

the uniqueness of  $\mu_P$  is easily proved. Here we have proved Theorem 1 without help of this result; but we will prove more:  $\mu_P$  is the sole function that can perform the inversion in Theorem 2. For this we have to prove the following

**Lemma 4.** *If  $f(1) \neq 0$  and*

$$\sum_{e|n} f(e)\rho^*\left(\frac{n}{e}\right) = f(n),$$

*then  $\rho^*(n) = \rho(n)$  for every  $n \in Z$ .*

*Proof.* See [2, lemma 4].

Suppose now that  $\mu^*$  is such that

$$g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)\mu^*\left(\frac{n}{d}\right). \quad (7)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{d|n} g(d)\mu^*\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^{ast}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{\delta e=d \\ \delta \in Q}} f(e) \\ &= \sum_{e|n} f(e) \sum_{\substack{d\delta'=n \\ \delta e=d \\ \delta \in Q}} \mu^*(\delta') = \sum_{e|n} f(e) \sum_{\substack{\delta\delta'=\frac{n}{e} \\ \delta \in Q}} \mu^*(\delta'); \end{aligned}$$

writing  $\rho^*(n) = \sum_{n=\delta d, d \in Q} \mu^*(\delta)$  we have  $f(n) = \sum_{e|n} f(e)\rho^*(n/e)$ , so by lemma 4 and theorem 1,

$$\rho(n) = \rho^*(n) = \sum \mu^*(\delta) \Rightarrow \mu^* = \mu_P.$$

Thus we have proved the

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arithmetical functions such that  $g(1) \neq 0$ . If*

$$g(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \in Q}} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \Leftrightarrow f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)\mu^*\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

*then  $\mu^* = \mu_P$ .*

## References

1. Cohen, E, *A class of residue systems (mod  $r$ ) and related arithmetical functions, I. A generalization of Möbius function*, Pacific J. of Math.. **9** **59**, 13.
2. Satyanarayana, U. V., *On the inversion property of the Möbius  $\mu$ -function*, The Math.Gazette **XLVII**, 38-42.

*Recibido en marzo de 1968*

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y ESTADÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA. BOGOTÁ, COLOMBIA